

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/042,899	01/08/2002	Joe Freeman Britt JR.	04676.P020	5830		
Thomas C. We	7590 01/16/2007	EXAMINER				
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	TARAE, CATHERINE MICHELLE				
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			3623		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
31 DAVS		01/16/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/042,899	BRITT ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		C. Michelle Tarae	3623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	October 2006		•				
2a)□		his action is non-final.		•				
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	,					
· _	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on						
الكار .	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□								
/—								
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
•	ion Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·· _								
	The specification is objected to by the Exami			•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11\\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
		Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attach	No.\	•	·					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	/ A\	Summary (PTO-413)					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application					
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·					

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 19, 2006 has been entered.

Examiner notes she is a new Examiner to the application.

Claims 1-9 have been amended. Claims 16-20 have been withdrawn due to a previous Restriction by the previous examiner. Applicant's amendments to claim 1 have prompted another Restriction Requirement for claims 1-15, which is provided below.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a wireless network through which users having certain attributes are asked and respond to inquiries, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
 - II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a graphical user interface having an inquiry region, an answer region, and a user selection region, classified in class 715, subclass 700.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as an interface for soliciting customer feedback related to a product or service used by the customer, which does not require the use of a wireless network to solicit the feedback. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,899

Art Unit: 3623

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Michelle Tarae whose telephone number is 571-272-6727. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Friday from 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz, can be reached at 571-272-6729.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Michelle Tarae Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3623

January 5, 2007